Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,146	BARET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEXA D. NECKEL	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>ALEXA D. NECKEL</u> .	(3) <u>KIP WERKING</u> .	
(2) XIUYU TAI.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 December 2008.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>pending claims</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: art of record.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The 112 rejections were discussed and clarifications were suggested. The examiners also clarified their positions of how the art is being applied in the rejection in order for Mr. Werking to gain a better understanding for the Applicant's response.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	ALEXA D. I SUPERVISORY PAT	
It on Verhal		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)